

United States Patent and Trademark Office



58

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/776,420	02/02/2001	R. Steven Schultz	01153.0001U3 4087		
75	90 07/01/2002				
Lawrence D. Maxwell, Esq. NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C. The Candler Building, Suite 1200			EXAMINER		
			FELTEN, DANIEL S		
127 Peachtree S Atlanta, GA 30			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3624		
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	•	Application No.		Applicant(s)	cd				
Office Action Summary		09/776,420		SCHULTZ ET AL.	<u> </u>				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Daniel S Felten		3624					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. Or Reply	pears on the cove	r sneet with the c	orrespondence add	iress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how ly within the statutory min will apply and will expire e, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	February 2001 .							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-f	inal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
·	ion of Claims								
•	4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.								
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or election require	mont						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		ted to by the Exar	miner.					
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approv	ed b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examine	r.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest		•		application).				
_a	The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional applicat	ion has been rec	eived.	·				
Attachmen		• •	30						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	4)		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO					

Serial Number: 09/776,420 Applicant(s): Schultz et al. (705/39) Page 2

Art Unit: 3624 Representative:

DETAILED ACTION

2 1. Receipt of the preliminary amendment filed March 16, 2001 is acknowledged. Claims
3 1-15 are pending in the application and are presented to be examined upon thier merits.

Specification

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

17 18

19

The use of the trademark "UPS", "FEDEX", and other various trademarks have been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Serial Number: 09/776,420 Applicant(s): Schultz et al. (705/39) Page 3

Art Unit: 3624 Representative:

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Hagan et
- al (hereinafter "O'Hagan", US 5,821,513) in view of Haluska (US 5,638,519).
- δ Regarding claims 1, 6 and 11:
- O'Hagan discloses a method, system and computer sytem for collecting electronic receipts for
- 8 purchases (see O'Hagan, figs. 16 and 20, col. 11, ll. 37 to col. 12, ll. 21)
- (a) conducting a sales transaction between a buyer (customer/shopper) and a seller (retail store/merchant)
 - (b) generating an electronic recipt 252 including information describing the purchase and (see O'Hagan, at least fig. 16, col. 11, ll. 59 to col. 12, ll. 6)
- (c) transmitting the receipt via a computer network to a computing device operated by
- the buyer, the buyer being presented via a hypermedia user interface of the device with a
- representation of the information describing the primary purchase (see O'Hagan, at least, col. 4,
- *16* 11. 43+);

3

11

12

- 17 and
- (e) storing in a centralized database a record of each receipt generated for each
- transactions of the plurality of transactions (see O'Hagan col. 1, 11. 66+); and

Serial Number: 09/776,420 Applicant(s): Schultz et al. (705/39) Page 4

Art Unit: 3624 Representative:

including information indicating completion of the transaction (see O'Hagan, col. 12, ll. 37-40).

O'Hagan fails to disclose performing steps a, b, and c for each of a plurality of transactions, no transaction of the plurality of transactions occurring between the same buyer and seller as any other transaction of the plurality of transactions. Haluska discloses this feature (see Haluska, Abstract). Since O'Hagan discloses transactions between buyer and seller within a grocery store, it would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention of O'Hagan to employ the aforementioned steps for a plurality of users, because an artisan at the time of the invention would recognize the fact that most business transactions are mulifaceted and occur many times between the buyer and seller, or between different buyers and sellers. For example, the same customer may frequent the same grocery store, but so may other customers at the same time during regular business hours. Thus such an obvious modification of O'Hagan by Huluska to include a plurality of customers, would not fall outside of what is considered normal business practice to obtain/retain customers, and thus would be considered an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claims 2-5, 7-10 and 12-15:

O'Hagan discloses, as in claims 2, 7, and 12, generating aggregate information in response to stored receipts; and providing the aggregate information to one of the sellers (see O'Hagan, fig. 19a, col. 12, 1l. 7-21).

Applicant(s): Schultz et al. (705/39) Page 5 Serial Number: 09/776,420

Art Unit: 3624

Representative:

O'Hagan fails to disclose the receipt generator retreiving the found records and transmitting representations of the found records to one of the buyers, adding information to a 2 found record, associating the added information wiht the found record in the database, and 3 downloading information in the found records to financial software as indications of purchases. The aforementioned features are disclosed by Haluska (see Haluska, fig. 5, col. 11, ll. 1-55). 5 Since O'Hagan's invention provides memory storage of the product description and price 6 for each selected item (see at least O'Hagan, col. 1, ll. 66 to col. 2, ll. 4), It would have been 7 obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill at the time of the invention of to integrate the 8 aforementioned features disclosed in Haluska into the O'Hagan system because an artisan at the 9 time of the invention of O'Hagan would have found the ability to retrieve and transmit receipt 10 information as an obvious alternative to a printed receipt for providing convenience of 11 verification/viewing and correcting receipt data. Also an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would 12 recognize that the retrieval and transmision of electronic data (reciepts) would provide means by 13 which multiple parties (at least the customer and merchant) could simultaneously and remotely 14 inspect/view the reciept. Thus such a modification would have been an obvious expedient well 15

17

16

within the ordinary skill in the art.

18 19

20

Serial Number: 09/776,420 Applicant(s): Schultz et al. (705/39) Page 6
Art Unit: 3624 Representative:

Conclusion

3 5. A list of relevant prior art appears below not relied upon in this Office Action:

US Patents:

2

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 5 Buchanan (US 6,009,408)
- 6 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner
- should be directed to **Daniel S. Felten** whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The
- examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7:00AM to 5:30PM Monday-Thursday.
- Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or its proceedings should
 - be directed to the Customer Service Office (703) 306-5631, or the examiner's supervisor
- Vincent Millin whose telephone number is (703) 308-1065.
 - 7. Response to this action should be mailed to:
 - Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
- Washington, D.C. 20231

for formal communications intended for entry, or (703) 305-0040, for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft".

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [daniel.felten@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO

Serial Number: 09/776,420 Applicant(s): Schultz et al. (705/39) Page 7

Art Unit: 3624 Representative:

employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that

- sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly
- signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly
- set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and
- 5 Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1 195 OG 89.

OSF

March 6, 2002

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600